

CSMS LOCAL PARTNERSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

13 January 2010

Meeting Began: 1330 hrs, Newark, OH

Members Present: Shears, Rogers, Streit, McDonald, Wille, England, Hill, Tice Higgenbotham, Tice (Alt)

Opening Remarks: Introductions of newly configured membership by Shears. Review of new bylaws by Hill and Shears


Issues:

1. Reviewed use of facilitator. Committee agreed we would request one, if needed. There is no need for one during routine meetings. We would prefer to have an outside facilitator for special issues, but have two trained at the CSMS if needed.
2. Reviewed member appointment procedures in the new bylaws. Committee agreed to push to senior leadership (LTC Wirth and Mr. Tanner) a request ability to locally appoint members (by the co chairs) and that only the Co Chairs be appointed by senior leadership.
3. USPFO representation. Labor reported Harris from USPFO would be labor rep on CSMS LPC in the future but was unable to attend this meeting. Management agreed to confirm management rep nomination with USPFO leadership and submit for approval to LTC Wirth – per current by laws, before next meeting.
4. Tooling- committee discussed three categories (Major tools, Tool Boxes w/tools, Deficiencies within tool boxes) Three teams were assembled to analyze the issues and write up proposals, requisitions, etc. for submission to Shop Supervisor prior to the end of January. Meetings of the groups are scheduled for the week of 18 Jan.
5. Computers/ETMs/MSDs. Committee discussed critical need for computers within the shop. It was recognized that the issue is a state-wide problem (specifically with imaging of new RCAS computers) but want to raise the issue to the ARPEC for possible leverage in gaining priority. Management is compiling specific impact statement for issuance to Senior leadership before next ARPEC Meeting. Additionally, management is re analyzing ETM and/or MSD requirements and will be placing new orders through SMO office by the end of JAN.
6. Training. Labor suggested that there may be specific instances in which specific techs have been - or could have been - denied developmental training for no good reason. Management explained the processes and several examples of sending individuals to training. Labor agreed to reinvestigate and try to find specific instances so they could be addressed on individual bases.
7. Action Plans. Committee discussed need for setting specific suspenses for taskings from our meetings. We agreed to specifically address the assignments in

the meeting minutes and then review the taskings as part of old business in our notes for the next meeting.

8. Safety – LTC Shears gave a review of the items from the previous DSCC Safety committee inspection and gave an update to the group reporting that all actions had been completed. It was agreed by all that we would have USPFO start bringing their safety information to our quarterly LPC meetings


Ron Hill, Labor Co-Chair


Randy Shears, Mgmt Co-Chair